

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | - 61 - 51 | | | - N |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/092,774 | 03/05/2002 | Gary Sprague | 27458-1/P11 | 8633 |
| 75 | 12/04/2003 | | EXAM | INER |
| David B. Woycechowsky, Esq. | | | VARNER, STEVE M | |
| Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP Suite 2600 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 600 West Broadway | | | 3635 | |
| San Diego, CA | 92101 | | DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| A chairman Antion | 10/092,774 | SPRAGUE, GARY | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Steve M Varner | 3635 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence addr | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2003 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is required t final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 | to avoid abandonment of this r: (1) a timely filed amendmen peal (with appeal fee); or (3) | application. A proper reply nt which places the applicati | to a ion in |
| PERIOD FOR | REPLY [check either a) or t | p)] | |
| a) | this Advisory Action, or (2) the date pire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH | ne mailing date of the final rejection IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. \$ | n. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See | riod of extension and the correspond te of the shortened statutory period e Office later than three months after | ling amount of the fee. The appro for reply originally set in the final C | priate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 | | • | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | ed because: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require full they raise new issues that would require full they are the are they are the are they are they are they are they are they are they are the are they are they are they are they are they are they are the are they are they are they are the are | urther consideration and/or se | earch (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No | ote below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or | on in better form for appeal b | y materially reducing or sim | plifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without car | nceling a corresponding numb | per of finally rejected claims | |
| NOTE: | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | ould be allowable if submitted | in a separate, timely filed a | mendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because | | n considered but does NOT | place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | because it is not directed SO | LELY to issues which were | newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmetric explanation of how the new or amended claims | | | nd an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | ws: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 1-4,8 and 21-23. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-7 and 11-18</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9,10,19 | <u>9,20</u> . | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a | approved or b)☐ disapprov | ed by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State | ment(s)(P f O-1449) Paper) | 16(s) | - |
| 10. Other: | Supervisory F | Friedman Patent Exarniner | |